



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,555	05/02/2005	Wei Xu	EX03-037C-US	9452
63572	7590	10/17/2008	EXAMINER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT @ BERGHOFF LLP			MABRY, JOHN	
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE				
SUITE 3100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/533,555	XU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John Mabry, PhD	1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John Mabry, PhD. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Janice Rice, Esq. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 689,806 and 6,316,429.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attny Rice inquired about the Non-final Office Action dated July 29, 2008, more specifically Examiner's obviousness rejection on page 10 under "Difference between Prior Art & the Claims". Attny pointed out that Examiner wrote US '806 but may have meant US '429. After review, Examiner did make a typographical error. The correct recitation is "US '429 differs from instant application at: (a) the 5-membered N containing...".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625	
---	--